



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,953	06/24/2003	Steven C. Quay	02-03US	6874	
36814	7590 12/20/2005		EXAMINER		
NASTECH PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY INC 3450 MONTE VILLA PARKWAY			KOSAR, A	KOSAR, ANDREW D	
	BOTHELL, WA 98021-8906		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1654		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/601,953	QUAY, STEVEN C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew D. Kosar	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-92 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-92 are subject to restriction and/or example.	vn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:				

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-92 are pending and require restriction.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following forty-six (46) inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1-19. Claims 10 and 91, drawn to peptides and pharmaceutical compositions of SEQ ID NOs: 6-8, 10, 32-35, 42 and 54-63, respectively [each invention (1-25) being drawn to a separate SEQ ID NO (e.g. SEQ ID NO:6 is Group 1, SEQ ID NO: 10 is Group 4, etc.)], classified in class 530, subclasses 300 and 324-330 and class 514, subclasses 2 and 12-18.
- 20. Claim 91, drawn to SEQ ID NO 53, classified in class 530, subclass 328.
- 21-23. Claims 10, 11, 91 and 92, drawn to peptides and pharmaceutical compositions of SEQ ID NOs: 4, 5 and 9, respectively, classified in class 514, subclasses 2, 15, 16 and 18.
- 24-43. Claims 49 and 64, drawn to a method for treating or preventing a disease or condition, and a method of coordinate administration, of SEQ ID NOs: 6-8, 10,32-35, 42 and 53-63, respectively, classified in class 514, subclasses 2 and 12-18.
- 44-46. Claims 49-51, 64 and 65, drawn to a method for treating or preventing a disease or condition, and a method of coordinate administration, of SEQ ID NOs: 4, 5 and 9, respectively, classified in class 514, subclasses 2, 15, 16 and 18.

<u>Claims 82-90 link(s) inventions 20-23</u>. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 82-90.

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1-9, 11-47 and 82-90 link(s) inventions 1-23. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-9, 11-47 and 82-90.

Claims 48, 52-63 and 66-81 link(s) inventions 24-46. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 48, 52-63 and 66-81.

Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Inventions 1-23 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to structurally distinct peptides with different sequences and each would have a different biological activity.

Assuming *arguendo*, that the peptides of the invention are more than one of the disclosed sequences together, i.e.- in a 'subcombination' relationship, Inventions 1-23 are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention 1 (a peptide between about 4-25 amino acids in length including fSEQ ID NO:4) has separate

Art Unit: 1654

utility such as a peptidomimetic of a Lewis antigen (e.g. WO 00/27420 A1, SEQ ID NO:119, page 32, sequence listing). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions 1-23 and 24-46 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products can be used for reducing metastasis and for inhibiting inflammatory responses.

The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the non-patented literature search. A reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group.

Additionally, the compounds of the instant application are distinct, absent evidence to the contrary, and would require a unique search strategy. The search for the distinct compounds is conducted based on their chemical structure and/or peptide sequence. Therefore, the search of one chemical structure or peptide sequence would not necessarily lead to the discovery of another structure or peptide sequence, nor would it necessarily lead to the discovery of methods of using and/or making.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search for one invention would not necessarily lead to the discovery of another invention, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper, and to not restrict would be an undue burden on the Examiner.

Art Unit: 1654

Claim 1-92 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising pharmaceutical compositions and peptides of the inventions. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

In order to effect a complete response to this requirement, Applicant is required to (1) identify a single species of the elected invention peptide, where all amino acids are identified [note: election of a single SEQ ID NO as the invention would not satisfy this requirement, unless Applicant provides an indication that it is also the elected species without additional amino acids] and (2) identify a single pharmaceutical composition, including any additional elements that are present, such that a fully described pharmaceutical composition is identified [please note: election of a generic, e.g. 'biologically active agent' is 'psychotropic agent', 'anticoagulant agent', etc., would not be fully responsive, as it would be an undue burden to search the myriad of compounds embraced by such a generic.].

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1654

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Rejoinder Practice

The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to

Art Unit: 1654

retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew D. Kosar whose telephone number is (571)272-0913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8am-430pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571)272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 1654

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Bruc Campell